

Difficulties in using the sharp neutrino spectrum at short times

Harry J. Lipkin *

Department of Particle Physics Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

School of Physics and Astronomy, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4815, USA

harry.lipkin@weizmann.ac.il

Abstract

Final states produced by a decay have a much broader energy spectrum than the natural line width at times much shorter than the decay lifetime. This tends to render impossible the use for neutrino detection of the high value of the resonance absorption cross section at the peak of the resonance.

Proposals to use the very sharp neutrino emission line for neutrino detectors have been discussed for a long time [1]. More recent proposals [2] frequently overlook the constraints imposed by energy-time uncertainty [3]. The width of the energy spectrum reduces to the natural line width only after times of the order of the lifetime of the state emitting the neutrino. For a shorter time δt after the emission of the neutrino, the observed energy width of the final state energy spectrum is much broader and given by [3]

$$\Gamma_{obs} \approx \frac{\tau}{\delta t} \cdot \Gamma_{nat} \quad (0.1)$$

where Γ_{obs} is the observed width expressed as the second moment of the energy spectrum, τ is the lifetime of the decay and Γ_{nat} is natural line width observed at times longer than the lifetime. The effective cross section $\sigma_{eff}(\delta t)$ observed at a time δt after the neutrino emission is then

*Supported in part by U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357.

$$\sigma_{eff}(\delta t) \approx \frac{\delta t}{\tau} \cdot \sigma_{peak} \quad (0.2)$$

where σ_{peak} is the cross section at the peak of the resonance.

For experiments using long-lived decays like the tritium decay suggested [2] for use in neutrino detection, δt is the time between the emission of the neutrino and its arrival at the absorber. The factor $(\delta t/\tau)$ is then sufficiently small to render this kind of experiment useless for neutrino detection.

The width of the energy spectrum for a single neutrino emitted in a single transition evolves in time without interaction with the environment and eventually reaches the natural line width. This time-dependent broadening differs from other conventional sources of broadening whose widths do not change with time after emission. These other broadenings generally involve interactions after emission with the environment, averaging over many slightly different sharp lines or collective superradiant transitions. None of these involve a change in the width of the spectrum with time if there are no subsequent interactions.

Many other sources of broadening arise from slight individual differences in the conditions for each individual emission. These produce a combination of lines each shifted by a slightly different energy to produce an overall broadened line. This broadened width does not change with time if there are no subsequent interactions.

Broadening that involves an energy-time uncertainty for single events arises in Dicke superradiance [4], where the spectrum of each single photon observed has an enhanced width. This differs from the neutrino case because it arises from a collective transition which speeds up the lifetime and therefore increases the natural width. Here the energy spectrum does not change with time if there are no interactions. Some examples of modifications of resonance absorption cross sections for time-uncertainty broadened incident waves have been seen in Mössbauer spectroscopy with synchrotron radiation sources [5].

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with John Schiffer, who called my attention to this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Kells and J. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. C28 (1983) 2162
- [2] R. S. Raghavan Phys.Rev.Lett.102 (2009) 091804
- [3] Gordon Baym, Lectures on quantum mechanics, W.A. Benjamin, Inc, (1969), p. 248
- [4] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 93, 99 (1954)
- [5] For a review of nuclear resonance excitation by synchrotron sources, see E. Gerdau, Hyperfine Interactions, vol. 123 (1999) and the papers therein